1	COMMIT	TEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 1
2	CITY COUNCIL	
3	CITY OF NEW YORK	
4	X	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES	
6	Of the	
7	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY	
8		X
9		June 9, 2020
		Start: 10:17 a.m. Recess: 8:00 p.m.
10		
11	HELD AT:	REMOTE HEARING (VIRTUAL ROOM 1)
12	BEFORE:	Donovan J. Richards, Chairperson of the Committee on
13		Public Safety
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
15	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	Speaker Corey Johnson
16		Deborah Rose Carlos Menchaca
17		Brad Lander Robert Holden
18		Paul Vallone Adrienne Adams
19		Ydanis Rodriguez Rafael Salamanca
20		Andrew Cohen Helen Rosenthal
21		Vanessa Gibson Farah N. Louis
22		Fernando Cabrera Andrew Cohen
		Mark D. Levine
23		I.Daneek Miller Francisco Moya
24		Keith Powers Carlina Rivera
25		

Intro. 721-A also requires the Commissioner to issue quarterly reports of arrests and summonses issued when persons record police activity.

There may be no better time to pass legislation as we continue to see people in our city and across this country demand accountability. If it were not for a quarter of officers, we would know videos of officers hitting a cyclist with batons, officers physically pushing a woman to the ground and officers arresting an essential food delivery worker or many others. All of these instances were recorded. I use my own to record instances that were still not believed.

Imagine if they were not. Imagine if we were not aware. What justice would be sought? Would the status quo continue? Sadly, even with the video, sometimes there is no justice.

Take the case of Rayne Valentine, an essential worker at Kings County Hospital. As he left work on May 30th while walking near the church avenue subway station and saw the crowds of protestors and police officers. Rayne took out his phone to record, leading to shouts from officers to move back. Rayne

2.2

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

then was pushed and beaten by officers who quickly stopped and gave him back his phone.

Afterward, Rayne bleed from what had happened, went back to the hospital for injuries he sustained. All because he was recording officers. Before these protests, there were many other examples of police in the city inappropriately responding to recordings.

Back in May, while walking her dog a defense attorney saw officers stopping men near Tompkins street and Decatur Avenue. She began to record the interaction before officers approached her. While the people stopped by police were let go, the attorney was arrested and detained.

There are countless stories of people who were simply recording officers and their right to do so was interfered with by police. On 2014-2016 CCRB investigated 257 complaints involving officers interfering with video recording. Most of the complaints involved officers using physical interference to prevent their recording. This cannot happen. We must ensure every person is entitled to their right to record a police officer without the fear of repercussions. This includes journalists and I'm frightened by recent reports that the press is

36

being stopped and even arrested for just doing their

job.

Let's make something very clear, there is no local law that makes it illegal to record the police. In fact, federal and state law allow people to record in a public space. However, we have seen officers inconsistently respond to recordings of their actions. We do not need to see images of people arrested while holding our officers accountable. do not need officers picking and choosing who gets to record and who does not. It is time for uniform policy and Intro. 721 would do that. The right to record can allow a level of accountability in incidents where officers acted inappropriately. example, film the last words of Eric Garner in Staten Island after x-official Daniel Pantaleo applied a fatal chokehold. Even though it took five years for Pantaleo to be fired for causing the death of an innocent man, this incident stressed the points of a right to record. We still haven't had an account for the other officers to who caused the death and my understanding is Mr. Archer had just been released from jail himself.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 50 1 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will call on 2 3 each of you individually for a response. Please 4 raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth 5 and nothing but the truth in your testimony before 6 7 this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? Deputy Commissioner Tucker? 8 BENJAMIN TUCKER: I do. COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Assistant Chief Pontillo? 10 Assistant Chief Pontillo? 11 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Committee Counsel, we may have 12 13 an audio problem with the testimony that's about to 14 be given, there is an echo, which makes it hard to 15 understand their testimony. So, I don't know if they can attempt to fix that on their end. 16 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Commissioner Tucker, 18 why don't you begin and we'll stop if we have a 19 problem. 20 BENJAMIN TUCKER: We're still getting an echo, I 21 think. Oh, that's better. Alight? 2.2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: That sounds better. 2.3 BENJAMIN TUCKER: Well, let's begin. Good morning Speaker Johnson, Public Advocate Williams, 24 Chair Richards, and Member of the Council. I am Ben

2.2

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

Tucker First Deputy Commissioner of the New York City
Police Department. I'm joined today by Assistant
Chief Mat Pontillo, and Assistant Deputy Commissioner
for Legal Matters Oleg Chernyavsky.

On behalf of Commissioner Dermot Shea, I wish to thank the Council for the opportunity to discuss the important moment in our countries and in our city's history and to comment on the bills we incurred here today.

At the core of the Departments mission is our obligation to protect the health, safety, and welfare of those that live in, work in, and visit our city. A well trained focused and disciplined team of more than 36,000 officers is vital to this mission. We are the largest police department in the nation, the most scrutinized. No police department operates under as much public scrutiny or as many layers of oversight as the NYPD.

As we have made clear time and again, the Police

Department embraces scrutiny and oversight because it

has prompted us to review and reflect upon our

policies and practices. In over the past six and one

half years, we have made unprecedented progress in

such areas as training, control function, precision,

policing, use of force, investigative encounters, and
discipline.

While I intend to outline some of the reforms we have implemented during this administration. In the interest of time, I have attached a far more comprehensive list to my testimony for you and the public to review. Our job is by no means done. We in the policing profession know that we can always improve, that we can always do better, that we must do better. That is why I am here today to commit to you that we will continue to work with you, advocates, academia, and the public on our collective mission to ensure fair and impartial policing throughout our city.

The NYPD will remain in the forefront of this issue, which impacts all New Yorkers. Over the past three and one half months, the city has been and continues to traumatized by the onset of the coronavirus that has taken us into uncharted territory. And by the horrific and deeply disturbing murder of George Floyd and the passionate expression of outrage that has driven thousands into our streets seeking justice.

2.2

2.2

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

There has been a fair amount of conflict in our city recently, so please allow me to share something that I think we can all agree on. What happened to Mr. Floyd was a great injustice and a shocking tragedy and was certainly deeply disturbing.

When police officers start their careers, we take over to protect and serve the public. I did that when I was a young recruit in the early 70's. Police Commissioner Shea did it as well in the early 90's. All police officers swear to protect the people of this great city and they strive to fulfill the oath every time they put their uniforms on.

What we saw in Minneapolis was simply a betrayal of the oath, of that oath and a gross sterilization of duty. It's nearly damaged every effort we made by our officers to connect with our communities and build trust without people. Simply put, it was atrocity fatal to Mr. Floyd and deeply damaging to police community relations here in New York and everywhere in our nation. Our profession is better than that. But this is also a time where we can call on, all come together and condemn the lawlessness that has occurred recently. Over the last several days our city has experienced turmoil. The right to

54

2 communicate onto ideas is fundamental to a free

3 society. The NYPD believes in the importance of the

4 first amendment and the public's right to free

5 expression. But in demonstrating, counter

6 demonstrating or showing support for a cause, people

7 | in groups have the right to peacefully gather. Law

8 enforcement in turn, has the duty to ensure the

9 safety of the general public while protecting the

10 right of peaceful assembly.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When protestors march for a better future, it is an overwhelmingly positive thing for our city. Yet, unfortunately, the city has also recently experienced looting, burglaries, and the destruction of property. These actions are not peaceful protests. They have nothing to do with civil disobedience, progress, or reform.

They are not about demonstrating against police brutality. Quite frankly, they are the actions of people who have been solely on taking advantage of a moment in American history to call out the cause of equity and justice that we all uphold and intentionally inflict chaos, mayhem, and injury, just for the sake of doing so. And I hope we can all come together and condemn these lawless actions.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

The best majority of our police officers abide by the many laws, policies and procedures and rules governing the policing profession. Police work and police decision making in the field relies heavily on the discretionary judgement of officers based on their accumulated experience as well as adherence to guiding principles to solve a variety of problems.

Public trust is eroded anytime a New York City police officer's conduct does not adhere to the values and standards of the NYPD and the policing profession.

As I noted earlier in recent years, the NYPD enacted a series of sweeping reforms designed to build trust and encourage collaboration with New York City communities. At the heart of these reforms is neighborhood policing, making shift in policy and practice that gave cops the time and the latitude to connect with communities that follow through on local problems and seize the initiative on local crime.

I personally led a series of training reforms as we developed a three day course in de-escalation, de-escalating confrontations and avoiding force.

Trained our officers in communicating with

emotionally disturbed people, prompted a field

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

training program for recruits and rented [INAUDIBLE 39:55] in fair and impartial policing. We also established a much more exacting use of enforced policy to investigate all uses of force and collect,

trap and report forced data as never before.

The Department implemented what is now the largest police body worn camera program in the world. 45,000 of our officers now wear those body worn cameras.

By 2019, reported stops were down from 93 percent from 2013. Arrests and criminal summonses fell by 46.6 percent, and 79.7 percent respectively, by the end of 2019.

Last year, the NYPD stopped 149,000 fewer people of color than it did in 2013 and we arrested 148,000 fewer. With the smaller enforcement footprint came deep cuts in crime. Murders below 300 for the first time since 1951 and shootings below 800 as well as 33.4 drop in burglaries.

Greatly reduced enforcement and significantly lower crime. It was a triumph of progressive policing and I ask you to take these accomplishments and the reforms that helped achieve them into account as you consider further reforms in our department.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

One of the most important components in winning the public's trust is the credibility of our internal system for discipling this kind of violence by police officers. If people see the departments discipline system as minimizing or discounting police conduct, they will be far more likely to doubt the legitimacy of any police action. We recognize that lasting trust cannot be achieve without a far and transparent police process and the process should be that should provide the people we serve with the understanding and insight into how the department addresses their complaints of misconduct and how we ensure without exception our personnel perform with integrity.

In the NYPD, we believe that we have a very robust disciplinary process that holds officers accountable and punishes guilty officers appropriately. But it is crucially important that the public believes it too. This is one of the reasons why former Commissioner O'Neill convened the external panel of respected criminal justice experts in 2018 to examine our internal discipline process and to make recommendations on how we can improve.

Last year, when the Commissioner issued his report, he immediately accepted each and every

2.2

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

recommendation. He tasked me with establishing and leading a working group to implement the recommendations, a duty that Commissioner Shea and

the entire NYPD leadership continues to embrace.

I would now like to speak about the bills being heard yet today. With respect to Proposed Intro. 536-A, with dealing with criminalize any form of restraint that restricts the flow of air or blood by compressing the windpipe, diaphragm, or corroded arteries on either side of the neck, in the course of affecting or attempting to affect an arrest. The Department can support this legislation with minor amendments.

As you know, our Patrol Guide as prohibited the use of chokeholds for decades. Patrol Guide Section 22101, which is publicly available on the NYPD's website, unequivocally and unambiguously forbids any pressure to the neck, throat or windpipe that may inhibit breathing. The quoting from this section, the primary duty of all members of the service is to protect human life, including the lives of individuals being placed in police custody. Force maybe used when it is reasonable to ensure the safety of the member of the service or a third person or

otherwise protect life or when it is reasonable to

place a person in custody or to prevent escape from

4 custody.

2.2

In all circumstances, any application or use of force must be reasonable under the circumstances. If the force used is unreasonable under the circumstances it would deeply be deemed excessive and in violation of the department policy. When appropriate and consistent with personal safety, member of the service will use de-escalation techniques to safely gain voluntary compliance from a subject to reduce or eliminate the necessity to use force. In situations in which it is not safe for appropriate members of the service will use only the reasonable force necessary to gain control or custody of a subject.

The use of deadly physical force against the person can only be used to protect members of the service or the public from imminent serious physical injury or death.

This is how officers are trained and this is how the vast majority of arrests are effectually.

Reality is that being a police officer is one of the most difficult jobs in the world. There are few

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

professions where the line staff — those officers on patrol must make myriad of decisions on every day on any given day. Cops have to make choices, sometimes very quickly every single day. Some are a split second life and death choices. We hold our officers to high standards even when making these choices but the decision as to when and how to use force on the ground is extremely complicated and may change second by second as circumstances evolve during the course of an arrest and often do.

No two arrests are the same. When officers must resort to using force, they must be trusted to rely on their training and their experience. It is critical that officers are able to transition and adapt to the changing circumstance of an encounter. We teach officers to adjust the level of force and response to the subjects changing level of resistance consistent with attendance of the critical decision making model. It is for these reasons that the New York State Penal law acknowledges an officers right to use reasonable force under certain circumstances.

If the officer uses excessive force, the penal law already includes a statue criminalizing, criminal obstruction of breathing and strangulation.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

If the officers conduct does not rise to a criminal defense, the Department, as a robust discipline system will hold that officer accountable. However, this bill would criminalize violations of department policy that would not rise to the level of criminality. The legislation does not require that an officer intentionally use a chokehold. Rather it criminalizes incidental, unintentional contact with an individual's neck or chest. Furthermore, by criminalizing the act of an officer putting pressure on an individual's diaphragm, the bill fails to take into account or take account on the particular circumstance of many struggles during the course of arrests.

It also appears that the bill would criminalize the rendering of CPR, with chest compressions or the Heimlich maneuver, although that is clearly not an intended consequence of the law.

Under the provisions of the bill, it is actually hard to imagine a scenario in which an officer would not open him or herself to criminal liability or discipline when effecting the arrest of a resisting subject. While we certainly prefer that people submit to arrests peacefully, it is certainly not

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

always the case that they do. That is the reality that officers face every day. The bill can be operationalized with two simple amendments. Remove the word diaphragm and add the word intentional. While the unacceptable acts we are all trying to prevent are those that occurred to Mr. Garner and Mr. Floyd, I ask you all to visualize the officers who you are in direct with on a regular day, every day. A coordinating officer or a community affairs officer, they will be the officers led away in

handcuffs for unintentional contacts during incidents

which they've entrust. Surely, we can agree that

these suggestions are not unreasonable.

With respect to Proposed Intro. 760-A, the bill would require the NYPD to create and maintain a centralized system to track, review and evaluate police officer activity and to identify officers that may be in need of enhanced training, monitoring or pre-assignment. The Department already has entire borough dedicated to this purpose, our Risk Management Bureau. Risk Managements mandate and purpose is to track the activities of officers and any deficiencies and in performance of their duties

including all complaints made against them.

Together, with the Legal Bureau, Risk Management is tasked with identifying those officers whose performance has not been up to the high standards we expect, retraining these officers and as needed reassigning them. Risk Managements work is above and beyond the existing structure overseen by me in my

The Department will support this bill and ask that the codification into law of the details of the ever changing evolving system be removed. Instead, we publicly report the criteria we evaluate in the context of such a system, so that this body and the public are fully informed and can offer input.

We look forward to working with the sponsor on the relatively small amendments that would make this operationally feasible and valuable in achieving its stated goal.

With respect to Proposed Intro. 721-A and
Preconsidered Intro. T-20206267, these bills would
affirm the right of citizens to record police
officers and require that an officers shield or rank
be visible. The Department supports the substance of
both these bills which are in our Patrol Guide.

2.2

office.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

64

No member of the NYPD should be interfering with someone lawfully recording police conduct or intentionally their shield number. That is simply unacceptable. However, we have significant concerns with the private rights of action included in both bills. Respectfully the Department cannot support those provisions in either of these bills. Portions of these bills confirm what is already in law. If an officer impermissibly seizes property including a recording of a law enforcement activity, an individual has a cause of action. NYPD officers must also identify themselves and provide business cards under the Right to Know law. Creating additional causes of civil action and mandatory attorney's fees would only serve to incentivize all avenues of a litigation to the detriment of the already stressed budgets and staffing needs of the NYPD to fight these suits.

Often, officers are faced with the challenge of maintaining order at complicated crime scenes. There is often tension between the integrity of the assume and the safety of the officers while allowing the public to observe those officers' actions. It could be a difficult judgment for officers who are

65

2 involved. We do not believe that incentivizing new

3 avenues to sue officers further reform or provide

4 justice to crime victims or their families.

5 Requiring officers to defend themselves in civil

6 suits even when they are able to mount a successful

7 defense opens the prospect of defense lawyers

8 | impeaching an officers testimony in criminal

9 prosecutions every time that officer testifies.

Simply because officers have been named as defendants

11 | in civil cases.

1

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, I hope that members of the Council can see that the NYPD has supported matters large and small over the past six and a half years and we remain willing partners in the overall effort to further advance the Department's commitment to criminal justice reform and serving all of the communities of our city. And as we do it is imperative that we move forward together on a common ground to improve our criminal justice system, the safety of all New Yorkers and the safety of the police officers, every police officer working daily to protect us.

I thank you for the opportunity to speak about these critical issues. We look forward to answering any questions you may have.